

1	SIF	E	20	
/ \ \ .	APR	30 T	400	SEICE !
14	TENTE	TRAD	EMAR	7

P-1047 Practitioner's Docket No. \_\_ **PATENT** 

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Stefan Dick, et. al.

Application No.: 10/040,794

Group No.: 1714

Filed: January 7, 2002

Examiner:

For: 'PLATE-SHAPED PRESSED BODIES

Confirmation No.1992

**Commissioner for Patents** 

P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## STATUS INQUIRY

•	NARININ	G: Submission of a status letter after in patent term adjustment under 3 111–112, June 26, 2001.	a Notice of Allowance may subect an application to a reduction 7 C.F.R. § 1.704(c)(10). See Notice of may 29, 2001, 1247 OG	
1.	More	than 27 months have pa	assed since	
	ď	NEW APPLICATIONS		
		the filing of this application of	on <b>January 7,2002</b>	
		No communication has been indicating action on this app	received from the Patent and Trademark Office lication.	
		the filing of a response on _	•	
		No further communication had Office.	as been received from the Patent and Trademark	
		APPEALED APPLICATION		
		The Appeal Brief was file	ed on	
l he	ereby cert	(When using Express Mail, the	R 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10*  Express Mail label number is mandatory; certification is optional.)	
	_	and the date chemic below, an	MAILING	
₽′	deposite Box 145	ed with the United States Postal Service 0, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	e in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O.	
_	/	37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)	37 C.F.R. § 1.10 *	
∕ادا	with sufficient postage as first class mail.   as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"  Mailing Label No			
			ANSMISSION	
	facsimile	transmitted to the Patent and Trader	mark Office, (703)	
	$\alpha$	· / /	Holly Wart	
Date: Opul 18, 2004 Signature				
	Holly Hart			
			(type or print name of person certifying)	

<sup>\*</sup> Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

	(0	check and complete applicable items below)
		An Examiner's Answer was mailed on
		A Reply to the Examiner's Answer was submitted on
	ALLOWED A	
	the mailing o	of FORM POL-327 and/or Examiner's Amendment on
2. Kind the app	dly advise the u	ndersigned of the present status of this application, by checking low. A stamped return-addressed envelope is provided.
		Status Inquiries, 8th Edition, cautions as to the submission of status inquiries as
	"NEW APPLICATION	NC
	of Form PTOL-3 in addition to a fo the need for stat or her new appl exception, a stat	ng procedures now provide for the routine mailing from the Technology Centers (TCs) 7 in every case of allowance of an application. Thus, the mailing of a form PTOL-37 armal Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) in all allowed applications would seem to obviate the subject of the seem to a precautionary measure where the applicant may believe his ication may have been passed to issue on the first examination. However, as an us inquiry would be appropriate where a Notice of Allowance is not received within the receipt of form PTOL-37.
	of the "oldest ne	ng procedures also aim to minimize the spread in dates among the various examiner art unit and TC with respect to actions on new applications. Accordingly, the dates w applications" appearing in the Official Gazette are fairly reliable guides as to the amount of when the examiners reach the applications or action.
	"Therefore, it she	ould be rarely necessary to query the status of a new application.
	"AMENDED APPLI	
	in order after repl A postcard receip will be considered filing of a reply, the the need for a po	rations are expected to be taken up by the examiner and an action completed within the date the examiner receives the application. Accordingly, a status inquiry is not by by the attorney until 5 or 6 months have elapsed with no response from the Office. In the office actions, adequately and specifically identifying the papers filed, and prima facie proof of receipt of such papers. Where such proof indicates the timely be submission of a copy of the postcard with a copy of the reply will ordinarily obviate estition to revive. Proof of receipt of a timely reply to a final action will obviate the form to revive only if the reply was in compliance with 37 CFR 1.113."
		SUPGE
Reg. No.	.: 31,945	Scott R. Cox (type or print name of practitioner)
Tel. No.:	(502) 589-	-4215 400 West Market Street, Ste. 2200 P.O. Address
		Louisville, Kentucky 40202

(Status Inquiry [9-3]—page 2 of 3)

Customer No.:

m 100	~~~	-	
(Rel.95-	-//115	Pub	WN

FO	RM	9.3

9\_13

## STATUS INQUIRY REPLY

APPLIC	ATIC	ON SERIAL NO. 0 / IS CURRENTLY
	ASS	SIGNED TO GROUP AND AWAITS:
		ACTION BY THE EXAMINER.
		APPLICANT'S RESPONSE TO THE OFFICE ACTION MAILED
APPEAL	. NO	
	IS A	WAITING ACTION BY THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFER-
	ENG	CES
		DATE OF HEARING EXPECTED
		DECISION EXPECTED